

A Simple RNA-DNA Scaffold Templates the Assembly of Monofunctional Virus-Like Particles

Rees F. Garmann,^{†,#} Richard Sportsman,[†] Christian Beren,[†] Vinothan N. Manoharan,^{||,⊥} Charles M. Knobler,[†] and William M. Gelbart^{*,†,‡,§}

[†]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, [‡]California NanoSystems Institute, and [§]Molecular Biology Institute, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095, United States

[∥]Harvard John A. Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences and [⊥]Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Using the components of a particularly well-studied plant virus, cowpea chlorotic mottle virus (CCMV), we demonstrate the synthesis of virus-like particles (VLPs) with one end of the packaged RNA extending out of the capsid and into the surrounding solution. This construct breaks the otherwise perfect symmetry of the capsid and provides a straightforward route for monofunctionalizing VLPs using the principles of DNA nanotechnology. It also allows physical manipulation of the packaged RNA, a previously inaccessible part of the viral architecture. Our synthesis does not involve covalent chemistry of any kind; rather, we trigger capsid assembly on a scaffold of viral RNA that is hybridized at one end to a complementary DNA strand. Interaction of CCMV capsid protein with this RNA-DNA template leads to selective packaging of the RNA portion into a well-formed capsid but leaves the hybridized portion poking out of the capsid through a small hole. We show that the nucleic acid protruding from the capsid is capable of binding free DNA strands and DNA-functionalized colloidal particles. Separately, we show that the RNA-DNA scaffold can be used to nucleate virus formation on a DNA-functionalized surface. We believe this self-assembly strategy can be adapted to viruses other than CCMV.

S mall RNA viruses consist entirely of genomic RNA packaged inside a one-molecule-thick protective protein capsid (Figure 1). In addition to making up a large fraction of the world's viral pathogens, small RNA viruses are helping to define new fields of applied science through their use as functional nanoparticles.¹ For example, they have been exploited as contrast agents for biomedical imaging,²⁻⁵ as vectors for the delivery of small molecules and genes to cells,⁶⁻¹¹ and as nanoscale building blocks for the formation of superstructures with unique material, optical, and dynamic properties.¹²⁻¹⁷

Much of the utility of small RNA viruses derives from their symmetric capsids¹⁹ which can be engineered to display a high density of functional moieties. Indeed, an arsenal of functionalization strategies^{20–31} has been developed that combine molecular biology (cloning) and/or selective covalent chemistries to isotropically label the various polyvalent surfaces (exterior, interior, and interfacial)¹ of the capsid. However, in



Figure 1. Capsid of CCMV, like those of many small RNA viruses, has icosahedral symmetry and consists of 180 copies of its capsid protein. Diameter is 28 nm. Taken from VIPERdb.¹⁸

situations where a high degree of labeling is not desired, monofunctional particles that display only a single copy (or a specific limited arrangement) of a particular functional group are needed. Not surprisingly, monofunctional virus particles are difficult to produce^{32–34} in a controlled way due to the inherent symmetry of the capsid and its abundance of equivalent binding sites.

Lying just beneath the capsid, the viral RNA contains a wealth of inequivalent binding sites that could in principle be selectively targeted using the methods of DNA nanotechnology.^{35–40} Unfortunately, the capsid is impermeable to these techniques; the main evolutionary purpose of the capsid is to protect the RNA from unfavorable interactions with macromolecules from the outside world. Our work bypasses this inaccessibility through

Received:
 April 11, 2015

 Published:
 June 4, 2015

the synthesis of virus-like particles (VLPs) with a portion of one end of the RNA extending outside of the capsid (Figure 2). With



Figure 2. (A) Schematic illustration of assembly of the "cherry bomb". (B) Positive-stain TEM of a cherry bomb capsid (dark sphere measuring 26 nm) and its RNA-DNA appendage (lighter strand extending upward).

the symmetry of the particle broken by the exposed RNA, we generate robust monofunctionalization through the conjugation of desired moieties using only Watson–Crick basepairing.

Our synthesis (Figure 2A) requires neither genetic modification nor covalent chemistry, but instead relies on the ability of a particularly well-studied small RNA virus, cowpea chlorotic mottle virus (CCMV), to be disassembled and reconstituted by self-assembly *in vitro*.⁴¹ Additionally, we exploit the qualitative structural differences between single-stranded (ss) and doublestranded (ds) nucleic acid to reshape the viral RNA that templates the assembly.

Owing to extensive intramolecular base pairing, ss-RNA of the length naturally packaged by CCMV (about 3 kb) is a highly branched, flexible, compact object that has physical dimensions comparable to the capsid interior⁴² (22 nm internal diameter). In contrast, the same length (3 kbp) of ds-DNA occupies a much larger volume, owing to its increased stiffness (~50 nm persistence length) and lack of branching. As a result, ds-DNA longer than about 75 bp cannot be accommodated within the interior volume of the CCMV capsid and does not function as a template for normal capsid assembly.^{43,44}

By hybridizing the first 185 bases at the 5'-end of a 3.2 kb ss-RNA with a complementary ss-DNA strand, we dramatically stiffen the 5'-end of the RNA. [We use the 5'-end of the 3234base RNA molecule ("B1") of the tripartite genome of brome mosaic virus (BMV), although either end of any similar-length sequence will likely do.] Here, the DNA strand (see SI) acts as a molecular splint. The resulting RNA-DNA hybrid can be expected to behave as a compact, flexible, branched 3-kb ss-RNA connected to a rigid, linear, 185-base ds-RNA-DNA appendage (see second-from-left cartoon in Figure 2A). The physical length of the ds portion is about 50 nm. It is very stable (85 °C melting temperature) owing to its perfect sequence complementarity.

The *in vitro* packaging of this RNA-DNA hybrid by CCMV capsid protein (CP), using the same protocol developed earlier for pure RNA,^{45–47} results in the selective encapsidation of the ss-RNA portion and leaves the ds-RNA-DNA appendage poking out of the capsid and into solution. We refer to this final construct as a "cherry bomb" because of its structural resemblance (Figure 2B, additional images shown in SI, Figure S1) to the well-known explosive firework.⁴⁸

While the structure of the hole that passes the ds-RNA-DNA through the capsid is not known, previous *in vitro* packaging studies have shown ss and ds nucleic acid traversing the capsids of CCMV and the closely related BMV. We previously observed⁴⁹ that ss-RNA molecules significantly longer than wild-type are

packaged by multiple CCMV capsids ("multiplets") that each share a portion of the overlong RNA (Figure 3). And a separate



Figure 3. Single ss-RNA molecules progressively longer than wild-type (3 kb) are shared by two or more CCMV capsids; multiplets. Scale bar shows 25 nm. Adapted from *J. Virol.* **2012**, *86*, 3322, doi: 10.1128/JVI.06566-11. Copyright American Society for Microbiology.

study⁴⁴ found that long ds-DNA is packaged by a contiguous string of many BMV capsids if ss-RNA fragments are also present. In both cases, nucleic acid is shared by connected capsids, passing through one or more holes in each capsid that are too small to be seen by negative-stain transmission electron micrograph (TEM). It is likely that the ds-RNA-DNA appendage of the cherry bomb exits the capsid through a similar hole.

To test whether the exposed RNA-DNA appendage can be used to bind nucleic acids in solution, we designed the DNA splint with a 3' poly- A_{15} overhang in addition to the 185 bases that complement the 5'-end of the RNA (see right-most cartoon in Figure 2A). Once formed, cherry bombs were combined with fluorescent (green) ss-poly- T_{15} DNA strands and analyzed by native agarose gel electrophoresis (Figure 4). The cherry bombs and the fluorescent poly- T_{15} co-migrated (Figure 4, lane 3), confirming that the poly- A_{15} sticky end of the RNA-DNA appendage binds its complementary strand in solution. Control experiments in which already assembled VLPs containing only 3.2 kb RNA (B1) were added to the splint DNA and fluorescent poly- T_{15} showed no nonspecific binding (Figure 4, lane 4).



Figure 4. Native agarose gel electrophoresis shows cherry bombs selectively bind fluorescently labeled poly- T_{15} DNA strands (green). Fluorescently labeled RNA shown in red.

The ability of the cherry bomb to bind a functionalized surface was demonstrated by direct imaging of a mixture of these capsids with 30-nm gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) that had been previously decorated with a high density of ss-poly- T_{25} DNA strands⁵⁰ (Figure S2). Negative-stain TEM (Figure S) shows a



Figure 5. Cherry bomb capsids bind the surface of DNA-functionalized 30 nm AuNPs. A zoomed-in TEM resolves the RNA-DNA duplex (arrows) linking the capsids (light spheres) and the AuNPs (dark sphere). Scale bars show 25 nm.

high concentration of capsids at the AuNP surface, and an exceptionally well-stained image reveals the RNA-DNA appendage linking the capsids to the gold surface (Figure 5, arrows). Control experiments between B1 VLPs and functionalized AuNPs showed no nonspecific binding (Figure S3).

Separately, we tested whether capsids could be assembled around RNAs that were already tethered to a functionalized surface (Figure 6A). Here, the hybrid RNA-DNA scaffold was prepared and equilibrated with 30-nm poly- T_{25} -coated AuNPs at a molar ratio of 10:1 (RNA:AuNP). After hybridization of the RNA to the AuNPs, CP was added at a mass ratio of 10:1 (CP:RNA), equilibrated for 5 min on ice, and imaged by negative-stain TEM (Figure 6B). The presence of well-defined capsids at the Au particle surface demonstrated assembly of cherry bomb capsids around the immobilized RNA-DNA. Some aggregation of CP in the presence of AuNPs was also observed (Figure S4).

While several elegant methods have recently been described for the monofunctionalization of tobacco mosaic virus particles, ^{51–53} they most likely cannot be applied to other viruses; they require either controlled disassembly of one end of the rod-like capsid or self-assembly of capsids on a substratebound RNA that contains a specific packaging sequence. The homogeneous assembly pathway described here provides a general strategy for monofunctionalizing icosahedral particles. Here we note that the ability to form cherry bomb structures is probably not limited to the plant virus CCMV; multiplet capsids have been observed in the packaging of overlong RNAs by the CP of the bacterial virus fr⁵⁴ and of the mammalian virus SV40,⁵⁵ indicating that they too have the potential to form cherry bombs.

In addition to offering a single, highly specific binding modality for building functional viral-based materials, our method for (i) physically binding and manipulating one end of the packaged genome and (ii) nucleating capsid assembly at a surface will enable new single-particle measurements that might reveal how RNA gets into and out of viral capsids during infection. Examples of such measurements include time-resolved studies of capsid assembly and force-pulling experiments^{56,57} that measure the work required to pull viral RNA out of its capsid.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Procedures and additional data. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b03770.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*gelbart@chem.ucla.edu.

Present Address

[#]Harvard John A. Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

W.M.G. and C.M.K. acknowledge support from the NSF through grant CHE 1051507. V.N.M. acknowledges support from the NSF through grant no. DMR-1435964. TEM images were obtained in the California NanoSystems Institute Electron Imaging Center for Nano-Machines, supported by NIH (1S10RR23057). Additional support provided by an NIH training grant for USPHS National Research Service Award ST32GM008496.



Figure 6. (A) Schematic showing the assembly of VLPs on a DNA-functionalized (green strands) surface. (B) Electron micrographs of cherry bomb capsids (light spheres) grown on 30 nm AuNPs (dark spheres) as shown in (A). Scale bar shows 25 nm.

Journal of the American Chemical Society

REFERENCES

- (1) Douglas, T.; Young, M. Science 2006, 312 (5775), 873.
- (2) Liepold, L.; Anderson, S.; Willits, D.; Oltrogge, L.; Frank, J. A.; Douglas, T.; Young, M. Magn. Reson. Med. 2007, 58 (5), 871.
- (3) Malyutin, A. G.; Easterday, R.; Lozovyy, Y.; Spilotros, A.; Cheng, H.; Sanchez-Felix, O. R.; Stein, B. D.; Morgan, D. G.; Svergun, D. I.; Dragnea, B.; Bronstein, L. M. *Chem. Mater.* **2014**.

(4) Prasuhn, D. E.; Yeh, R. M.; Obenaus, A.; Manchester, M.; Finn, M. G. Chem. Commun. (Cambridge, U. K.) **2007**, *12*, 1269.

(5) Datta, A.; Hooker, J. M.; Botta, M.; Francis, M. B.; Aime, S.; Raymond, K. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2008**, 130 (8), 2546.

(6) Aljabali, A. A.; Shukla, S.; Lomonossoff, G. P.; Steinmetz, N. F.; Evans, D. J. Mol. Pharm. **2012**, 10 (1), 3.

(7) Azizgolshani, O.; Garmann, R. F.; Cadena-Nava, R.; Knobler, C. M.; Gelbart, W. M. Virology **2013**, 441 (1), 12.

(8) Destito, G.; Yeh, R.; Rae, C. S.; Finn, M. G.; Manchester, M. *Chem. Biol.* **2007**, *14* (10), 1152.

(9) Brown, W. L.; Mastico, R. A.; Wu, M.; Heal, K. G.; Adams, C. J.;

Murray, J. B.; Simpson, J. C.; Lord, J. M.; Taylor-Robinson, A. W.; Stockley, P. G. *Intervirology* **2003**, 45 (4–6), 371.

(10) Wu, M.; Brown, W. L.; Stockley, P. G. Bioconjugate Chem. **1995**, 6 (5), 587.

- (11) Wu, M.; Sherwin, T.; Brown, W. L.; Stockley, P. G. Nanomed.: Nanotechnol. Biol. Med. 2005, 1 (1), 67.
- (12) Chen, C.; Daniel, M.-C.; Quinkert, Z. T.; De, M.; Stein, B.; Bowman, V. D.; Chipman, P. R.; Rotello, V. M.; Kao, C. C.; Dragnea, B. *Nano Lett.* **2006**, *6* (4), 611.
- (13) Maye, M. M. Nat. Nanotechnol. 2013, 8 (1), 5.

(14) Kostiainen, M. A.; Kasyutich, O.; Cornelissen, J. J.; Nolte, R. J. *Nat. Chem.* **2010**, 2 (5), 394.

(15) Dixit, S. K.; Goicochea, N. L.; Daniel, M.-C.; Murali, A.; Bronstein, L.; De, M.; Stein, B.; Rotello, V. M.; Kao, C. C.; Dragnea, B. *Nano Lett.* **2006**, *6* (9), 1993.

(16) Wang, Q.; Lin, T.; Johnson, J. E.; Finn, M. G. Chem. Biol. 2002, 9 (7), 813.

- (17) Cadena-Nava, R. D.; Hu, Y.; Garmann, R. F.; Ng, B.; Zelikin, A.
- N.; Knobler, C. M.; Gelbart, W. M. J. Phys. Chem. B 2011, 115 (10), 2386.
- (18) *Virosphere*, http://viperdb.scripps.edu/.
- (19) Carrillo-Tripp, M.; Shepherd, C. M.; Borelli, I. A.; Venkataraman, S.; Lander, G.; Natarajan, P.; Johnson, J. E.; Brooks, C. L.; Reddy, V. S.
- Nucleic Acids Res. 2009, 37 (suppl 1), D436.

(20) Gillitzer, E.; Willits, D.; Young, M.; Douglas, T. Chem. Commun. 2002, No. 20, 2390.

(21) Blum, A. S.; Soto, C. M.; Wilson, C. D.; Cole, J. D.; Kim, M.; Gnade, B.; Chatterji, A.; Ochoa, W. F.; Lin, T.; Johnson, J. E.; et al. *Nano Lett.* **2004**, *4* (5), 867.

(22) Strable, E.; Finn, M. G. In *Viruses and Nanotechnology*; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 2009; pp 1–21.

(23) Steinmetz, N. F.; Lomonossoff, G. P.; Evans, D. J. *Langmuir* **2006**, 22 (8), 3488.

- (24) Smith, J. C.; Lee, K.-B.; Wang, Q.; Finn, M. G.; Johnson, J. E.; Mrksich, M.; Mirkin, C. A. *Nano Lett.* **2003**, *3* (7), 883.
- (25) Raja, K. S.; Wang, Q.; Gonzalez, M. J.; Manchester, M.; Johnson, J. E.; Finn, M. G. *Biomacromolecules* **2003**, *4* (3), 472.
- (26) Gupta, S. S.; Raja, K. S.; Kaltgrad, E.; Strable, E.; Finn, M. G. Chem. Commun. 2005, No. 34, 4315.

(27) Pokorski, J. K.; Breitenkamp, K.; Finn, M. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, 133 (24), 9242.

(28) Pokorski, J. K.; Steinmetz, N. F. Mol. Pharmaceutics 2011, 8 (1), 29.

(29) Patel, K. G.; Swartz, J. R. Bioconjugate Chem. 2011, 22 (3), 376.
(30) Steinmetz, N. F.; Lin, T.; Lomonossoff, G. P.; Johnson, J. E. In

Viruses and Nanotechnology; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 2009; pp 23-58. (31) Young, M.; Debbie, W.; Uchida, M.; Douglas, T. Annu. Rev.

(1) Todag, Hi, Debid, W., Cenda, Hi, Douglas, T. Thinn. Ter.
 Phytopathol. 2008, 46, 361.
 (32) Klem, M. T.; Willits, D.; Young, M.; Douglas, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc.

(32) Klem, M. 1.; Willits, D.; Young, M.; Douglas, 1. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125 (36), 10806. (33) Suci, P. A.; Kang, S.; Young, M.; Douglas, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131 (26), 9164.

- (34) Li, F.; Chen, Y.; Chen, H.; He, W.; Zhang, Z.-P.; Zhang, X.-E.; Wang, Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, 133 (50), 20040.
- (35) Mirkin, C. A.; Letsinger, R. L.; Mucic, R. C.; Storhoff, J. J. *Nature* **1996**, 382 (6592), 607.
- (36) Rothemund, P. W. K. Nature 2006, 440 (7082), 297.
- (37) Strable, E.; Johnson, J. E.; Finn, M. G. Nano Lett. 2004, 4 (8), 1385.

(38) Stephanopoulos, N.; Liu, M.; Tong, G. J.; Li, Z.; Liu, Y.; Yan, H.; Francis, M. B. *Nano Lett.* **2010**, *10* (7), 2714.

(39) Wang, D.; Capehart, S. L.; Pal, S.; Liu, M.; Zhang, L.; Schuck, P. J.;

Liu, Y.; Yan, H.; Francis, M. B.; De Yoreo, J. J. ACS Nano 2014, 8 (8), 7896.

(40) Rogers, W. B.; Manoharan, V. N. Science 2015, 347 (6222), 639.

(41) Bancroft, J. B.; Hiebert, E. Virology 1967, 32 (2), 354.

(42) Gopal, A.; Zhou, Z. H.; Knobler, C. M.; Gelbart, W. M. RNA 2012, 18 (2), 284.

- (43) Mukherjee, S.; Pfeifer, C. M.; Johnson, J. M.; Liu, J.; Zlotnick, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2006**, 128 (8), 2538.
- (44) Pfeiffer, P.; Herzog, M.; Hirth, L. Philos. Trans. R. Soc., B **1976**, 276 (943), 99.
- (45) Garmann, R. F.; Comas-Garcia, M.; Gopal, A.; Knobler, C. M.; Gelbart, W. M. J. Mol. Biol. 2014, 426 (5), 1050.
- (46) Garmann, R. F.; Comas-Garcia, M.; Koay, M. S.; Cornelissen, J. J.; Knobler, C. M.; Gelbart, W. M. *J. Virol.* **2014**, *88* (18), 10472.

(47) Comas-Garcia, M.; Garmann, R. F.; Singaram, S. W.; Ben-Shaul, A.; Knobler, C. M.; Gelbart, W. M. J. Phys. Chem. B 2014, 118 (27), 7510.

(48) http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cherry bomb.

(49) Cadena-Nava, R. D.; Comas-Garcia, M.; Garmann, R. F.; Rao, A. L. N.; Knobler, C. M.; Gelbart, W. M. J. Virol. **2012**, *86* (6), 3318.

- (50) Hurst, S. J.; Lytton-Jean, A. K.; Mirkin, C. A. Anal. Chem. 2006, 78 (24), 8313.
- (51) Yi, H.; Rubloff, G. W.; Culver, J. N. Langmuir 2007, 23 (5), 2663.
- (52) Eber, F. J.; Eiben, S.; Jeske, H.; Wege, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52 (28), 7203.
- (53) Jung, S.; Yi, H. Langmuir 2014, 30 (26), 7762.
- (54) Hohn, T. J. Mol. Biol. 1969, 43 (1), 191.
- (55) Kler, S.; Wang, J. C.-Y.; Dhason, M.; Oppenheim, A.; Zlotnick, A.
- ACS Chem. Biol. **2013**, 8 (12), 2753.
- (56) Smith, D. E.; Tans, S. J.; Smith, S. B.; Grimes, S.; Anderson, D. L.; Bustamante, C. *Nature* **2001**, *413* (6857), 748.
- (57) Liu, N.; Peng, B.; Lin, Y.; Su, Z.; Niu, Z.; Wang, Q.; Zhang, W.; Li, H.; Shen, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132 (32), 11036.

7587